

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/777,343	02/05/01	MAROUISS		S	LJL 34601
•			7		EXAMINER
		IM22/0911	•	1.10.615.37	TN.
KOLISCH, HARTWELL, DICKINSON,				HANDY ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCCORMACK & HEUSER 520 S.W. YAMHILL STREET, SUITE 200					2
PORTLAND OR				1743 DATE MAILED	):
					09/11/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

1- File Copy

# Application No. 09/777,643

Applicant(s)

Marouiss et al.

## Office Action Summary

Examiner

Dwayne K. Handy

Art Unit 1743



Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars or	n the cov r sheet with the corr spondence address					
Period for Reply	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136						
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply v						
be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wil	apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this					
communication.	gues the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
<ul> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, c</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing c</li> <li>earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	late of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action	:					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-58</u>	is/are pending in the applica					
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera					
5)	is/are allowed.					
6) ☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to					
8) X Claims 1-58	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem					
	·					
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ard	e objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is: al approved b) disapproved.					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ity under 35 H.S.C. 8 119(a)-(d).					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	ny under 66 6.6.6. 3 1.14(=/ (=/					
a) All b) Some* c) None of:	seen received					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have be	peen received in Application No					
<ul> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be</li> </ul>	iments have been received in this National Stage					
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority doct application from the International Bureau</li> <li>*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company of the certified copies of the priority doct application.</li> </ul>	(1 O 1 1 (d) 17:2(d)).					
*See the attached detailed Office action for dimestic of	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	ioning and a december of the Control					
Attachment(s)						
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/777,343

Art Unit: 1743

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-19, 30-39, and 46-48 drawn to a dispenser system, classified in class 422, subclass 100.
  - II. Claims 20-29 and 45, drawn to a dispenser array, classified in class 73, subclass 863.31.
  - III. Claims 40-44, drawn to a method of dispensing, classified in class 436, subclass 180.
  - IV. Claims 49-58, drawn to an analyzer, classified in class 422, subclass 68.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the manifold as claimed by applicant in the subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as a portable dispensing array.

Application/Control Number: 09/777,343

Art Unit: 1743

3. Inventions I/II, III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to Mr. James Abney on 9/7/01 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Art Unit: 1743

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwayne K. Handy whose telephone number is (703)-305-0211.

Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700

dkh

September 10, 2001